Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,111	SHATTUCK, DON
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alison K. Pickard	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .	(3)	
(2) Matt Denehy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 11-13		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Alutaland		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to suggest amending the claims so that the transmission is positively claimed to overcome the prior art of record (e.g. Fujikawa) and such that it was the same language as in the parent patent. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment.